

UFO SIGHTER

VOLUME SIX
NUMBER TWO

EDITOR & PUBLISHER:
ALLEN H. GREENFIELD

FALL - WINTER
1967 - 68



Photo above is of Contactee John Reeves of Brooksville, Florida. Reeves attempted to display footprints of robot being preserved under tin, but prints had faded.

PHOTO BY EDITOR.

UFO SIGHTER, VOLUME SIX, NUMBER TWO
FALL-WINTER, 1967-68 ISSUE

EDITOR & PUBLISHER
ALLEN H. GREENFIELD

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION: The UFO Sighter appears on an approximately semiannual basis. Subscription: \$2.00 for four issues. Sample copy free. Back issues 50¢. Write for information as to availability. We welcome exchanges with other publications on a one-for-one basis.

MAILING ADDRESS: 2875 Sequoyah Drive, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30327 USA.
Telephone (404) 355-8206. Office located in Broadview Plaza Shopping Center.
Contact at our mailing address for appointment.

UFology as a field has its good and bad points; its ups and downs. Those who might say that UFology could use little in the way of improvement (if there are any who would be so bold as to say this) are wrong. But, it is our opinion, it would be a fundamental error to state that UFology is either a hopeless mess or utterly worthless in our present state. There are, and always have been as far as I can tell, worthy projects which could, if implemented, be of considerable benefit to mankind. (Overstatement? Hardly.)

We'll start by blowing our own horn. I don't know who, if anyone, puts much stock in what I say. My magazines, the Sighter and the AHN, are not to be noted for their massive circulation. Maybe we are on the wrong track administratively in the Sighter and philosophically in the Alternate Horizons Newsletter.

Maybe so. But maybe not, too.

In any case, we ask you to give us some open-minded consideration. Our work with the Sighter and AHN, if it has been nothing else, has been sincere, honest, and dedicated over a period exceeding some seven years, counting from the time we first entered this field. This should count for something in itself. But we have also done a good deal of thoughtful research.

This may be "blowing our own horn", but it isn't raw narcissism. Our projects are conducted in the name of what we consider to be, and hope to be, worthwhile and valid positions.

Another project dear to our heart was endorsed by the 1967 UFO Congress, that being the National Committee for the Restoration of the Membership of James W. Moseley in NICAP. Interestingly, the Closed Sessions were attended by at least a few NICAP members, though how or even if they voted we cannot say.

Mr. Steven G. Barnett has been at work on a new effort to unify UFology under the banner of his "Scientific Union of UFO Organizations". We were at first dubious about this project; after all, it has been tried before. Remember the International UFO Information Exchange Alliance? The National Aerophenomena Research League? The United UFological Association? We do. But we helped organize and run the Alliance and the UUA, and I can say that Barnett is off to a better start. We are once again taking up the unity banner and we give our full endorsement to the Union under the leadership of Steve Barnett.

** Frank Edwards is dead. This news may be old hat to you. Perhaps not, though, the way news travels in this field. In any event UFology has lost one of its greatest defenders. I didn't agree with Edwards on everything by any means. Nevertheless I found him personally likeable and a definite advantage to the field of UFology. The title read "FLYING SAUCERS-SERIOUS BUSINESS". They were. And they still are.

AHG

T H E C U R R E N T S T A T U S O F T H E U F O F I E L D

A Report by the Editor

The UFO field cannot be noted for its stability. Let us begin this report by stating for the record that we do not claim accuracy beyond the point of writing the final draft in October, 1967. Whether changes come or not, we do hope that our analysis will give some insight into the status quo.

Just what is that status? Certainly we can say that things are better today than they were, say, five years ago. Five years ago the mood of the field was quite dismal. In the period between 1957 and 1964 there was talk of Gotterdammerung. There was even some expression of the idea that the saucers had "left". The field was in a sad state, indeed. Publicity was poor. Actual reports seemed few and far between. S.P.A.C.E. was gone. Saucers was gone. The Teen UFOlogy movement collapsed.

Today we live in a different era. Lonnie Zamora seems to have been the start of it all, followed by the Summer, 1965 flap, other cases, and the big flap of 1966.

For whatever reasons publicity after the Big Flap has been tremendous. Life, Look, Post and the funny papers have all been at it to one degree or another. Business, at least for some saucer organizations, was booming. The 1967 Congress of Scientific UFOlogists at New York drew thousands of people.

This latter, though, may have marked something of a turning point. Things are still big at this writing, but something seems to have dropped out of it. No realistic persons should be shocked by this; not if the people involved have a good knowledge of this field. Whether it is the public or the press or whatever, a fad interest cannot be sustained forever.

Let's be realistic about it: UFOs are remarkable because they persist. They just keep bouncing back. But the type of interest they engender is still to a considerable extent on a plane with hula-hoops and Davy Crockett - remember them? This fact may be a source of frustration to some UFOlogists, if not all, but this, alas, apparently doesn't make any difference.

So the current over-all status of UFOlogy is good, but past a certain peak and now on a downward slope. How long this will continue is a matter for conjecture. Quite possibly a new flap will have come along by the time you read this and will have changed things. But the basic cycle remains.

What lies beneath the surface features we see? There is an underlying problem of structure in UFOlogy that may be, to a considerable extent, responsible for our difficulties. UFOlogy is, to a regrettable extent, pervaded with administrative and philosophical weakness, without any counterbalance to be seen.

Part of the problem lies in the intellectual vacuum that exists. We can see no well-defined goal to answer the question of why should we (or anyone) spend their time in organized UFOlogy. What holds us together is the saucer mystery itself and the joiner instinct. This, obviously, is not enough.

This is not to say that other impulses do not exist. There are the tattered banners of spreading the saucer people's alleged philosophy and the drive for congressional hearings. But do these capture the imagination of the bulk of UFOlogists (don't even mention the public), or are they rather prefunctionary in nature?

We are, in a word, stuck. In a rut. Run aground. In essence, for at least a number of people in the UFOlogy field proper, there is no Great Vision to inspire us on to bigger and better things. There is a definite air of stagnation about the whole field. We are not without wellsprings of interest. But they are inadequate.

In years gone by there was an element of immaturity about the field which now has passed, at least somewhat, into history. This would be a good sign were it not for the link between the immaturity and enthusiasm. Some of that is gone, too, it seems to us.

The groups themselves seem to be in something of the same state as they were back in the 50's when UFO groups first got organized. Some of the pretentious nonsense has declined; we don't hear as much about "State Chairmanships" and the like. But there is still a big element of this....people like to "dress up" and play 'Official UFO Investigator, second class' even in mature quarters where the people involved should know better.

The quality of UFO publications, at least physically, have improved tremendously. The intellectual level leaves much to be desired, though.

In summary, it is not a good picture we see. Add to this the lack of finances and the strong element of the crackpots and the view becomes still more gloomy.

There are no dominant trends to reverse this view. Matters are not without hope. But, least there be misunderstanding, these are bright spots in a gloomy picture. They may become the wave of the future, but they may also return to oblivion. In any case there are, as noted in our editorial, several good projects afoot. The Scientific Union of UFO Organizations and the National Committee for the Restoration of the Membership of James W. Moseley in NICAP are, in each their own way, potential aids for the beleaguered UFOlogy field. Our own Foundation for Philosophic Advancement may herald a new era in trying to comprehend the nature of UFOs. Let's not forget the Congress of Scientific UFOlogists.

One day in the far future the story of our time may be written with a better perspective than we have today. If UFOs turn out to be nothing, then UFOlogy, if it makes the history books at all, may be considered to be nothing more than one of many minor cults that have sprung up throughout man's trek through time.

But maybe, and I leave it at maybe, unidentified flying objects do have some significance. Just perhaps, they may have great significance. If so, this field of ours might just assume some historical importance.

The events of our time are not yet complete, so I don't know the outcome of our story. But if there is something to the saucer problem we may have failed in a good deal more than the solving of a detective story. We may have failed mankind.

For perhaps the most terrible consequence of all is that, if we fail, and if the saucers are real, that history book might never be written.

Because no hands....no human hands....will be there to write it.

THE MEN-IN-BLACK UNIVERSITY ALL-AMERICA SQUAD

By Allen H. Greenfield (Who knows)

Somewhere in a remote section of the Andes Mountains, which are located, roughly, somewhere between El Paso and Cape Horn, a little-known institution of higher learning proceeds quietly with its work. Once a year (on Halloween, of course) the faculty and administration meet with The Leader to discuss current problems and reminisce. We have obtained, at great expense, an extract of last year's meeting. It follows:

The Leader: The meeting will now come to order. (Pause). The meeting will come to order. (Pause; noise in background). The meeting will COME TO ORDER, if Agents Hunwrap and Willpillson will kindly be quiet. (Quiet) Now. To proceed, our first order of business will be the readings of the minutes of last year's meeting. If our secretary, Mr. Mosby, will proceed....

Mr. Mosby: (Clears throat) Ahem. Last year, we discussed the current state of the UFO field in America. Agent Barber read a paper on "How to Confuse UFOlogists by Operating a Publishing House". A memorial oration for the late Agent, Mr. Contampski, was read by Agent Lesly. A new agent, Mr. Frank Manur, read his paper on "Creating Confusion in Michigan". The "Man of the Year" award was presented to Agent Kealy, for his Outstanding Contribution. A special citation was also given to Agent Quintennessence for meritorious work in the field of press relations.

The Leader: Thank you, Mr. Secretary. Next on our agenda is a brief report on the work of the past few months since last we assembled, given by Agent Hillburp. Mr. Hilburp.

Mr. Hillburp: Thank you, thank you. As you'll all no doubt remember, last year Mr. Mosby was given the job of further discrediting the UFO field by putting on an enormous circus in the guise of a UFO convention. I am happy to say that we succeeded beyond our wildest imaginings, and I think Mr. Mosby deserves a big hand. (Standing ovation, 3 minutes). Now, to get on with the report, some of us were a bit worried about the wave of favorable publicity the saucer subject has been getting lately. But you can be sure that Mosby's convention for once and for all thoroughly squelched all such talk. The subject is now once again the laughing stock we all know and love. Thank you. (applause.)

The Leader: Next, we will hear a report from Mr. Greenleaf on the subject of "Warping Minds with Phoney Theories".

Mr. Greenleaf: Fellow agents. I am happy to announce the successful launching of a new diversion project in the form of the Alternate Worlds Newsletter. When I launched the Awn some time ago, I seriously doubted that anything so utterly absurd would be swallowed even by a group so simple-minded as the UFOlogists. But, sure enough, they bought it hook, line and sinker. Once again, we have succeeded in diverting them far, far away from the truth about the saucers, and I am deeply honored at having been given this assignment. (Applause.)

The Leader: Heartening news, Mr. G.! But I have news, gentlemen, hot off the wire. It seems that several of our British colleagues have succeeded in stringing a group of fake saucers across the breadth of Great Britain. No doubt when the hoax is exposed the British UFOlogists will be so discredited they won't recover their prestige for years! Let's hear it for the boys in the caves over there. Hip-hip

Chorus: Hurrah!

The Leader: But on with the business at hand. I see now that we are truly honored. Our next speaker is a man whom we all know and admire; a man who I, personally, consider to be our most outstanding Agent since the passing of the much-beloved Agent, Charles Forb. Please welcome him warmly: Agent George Whirly of Connecticut!

(Huge, standing ovation, 15 minutes)

Mr. Whirly: Thank you ever so much. First, I would like to say that I don't really deserve all this. Many, many here have done more towards wrecking the UFOlogy movement. (Shouts of No! No!) But, in any case, I am here to talk about the front group we have established, called NOCRAP-CON. The jerks there really think the "CON" stands for "Connecticut"! But we know better, don't we? (Shouts of "Yes!" "Yes!" followed by applause). Now, today I can report that we continue to have the state sewn up. No legitimate UFO group has succeeded in making any inroads there, and we continue to peddle the absurdity that UFOs come from other planets. (Loud guffaws, snickers, etc.) That about covers the scene from my region. (Applause.)

The Leader: Well, time is growing short as we will have to break pretty soon for the luncheon. Incidentally, our luncheon speaker will be one of the old-timers in our business, Former Agent Max Muller who will talk to us about "Taking Over An American Men's Magazine For Saucer Propaganda". Another announcement: Judge Cratter will be unable to speak tomorrow as scheduled as he had to fly back home to Argentina unexpectedly for a meeting with fellow agents Martin Broman and Android Bearce. But, to move things right along, we do have two more speakers set for this morning session. First of them is Agent Timothy Buckley Greengreen, who will talk to us about the "Caterpillar Man" creature of West Virginia.

Greengreen: Thank you. Last year, if you'll remember, I was directed by the "Special Projects Committee" to invent a new wave of monster reports. I did this by rigging up a suit made of old issues of my ICK Report, now defunct. I took the first cavern train out for New Mexico, however soon changed my mind when they started shooting at me out there, I hightailed it for West Virginia and had better luck. I simply had to go out at night, flap my fake wings at by-passers, and moan at the top of my voice, "Ah-0000000000". It worked like a charm. (Applause.)

The Leader: The last speaker this morning will be the editor of our only newsstand propaganda sheet, FLYING OBJECTS. I present Agent Raymond A. Raymond.

Raymond: This year I started a new journal with the alleged purpose of giving people a voice. Actually what we cleverly do is throw away all the relatively sane letters and print the ones by complete nuts. Thus we are able to discredit the bumbling UFOlogists even in their own eyes!

The Leader: Thank you all ever so much for attending. This meeting is recessed until Two PM.

EDITOR'S NOTE: As a point of information, we asked our informant who the man called "The Leader" was. He refused to tell. However we have since received a report signed by the leader, and while the actual words of his name are obliterated, they are followed by the curious letters:

USMC (Ret.)

Steve Erdmann

I have read the Winter, 1966 issue of the UFO SIGHTER, with Mr. Steinberg's remarks on NICAP and myself. Let me say that his smug discourse is not unanswerable nor unable to be refuted. But in the short space allowed to me by the editor of this zine, it will suffer harshly. Perhaps by this bit of "monopolizing" (I see Steinberg ranked on with SIGHTER for a time) he has won by unfortunate circumstances.

Steinberg has "glossed over", as is his habit, something very important on the Glassboro sighting. Mr. Zulli, the tree expert, noted nothing that would tend to confirm the erosion. Neither did the scar at the base confirm erosion. Further, Mr. Zulli expressed the observation that a pressure pushing down from the outside had caused the movement of the tree, which is not indicative of erosion. And there are other factors. All of which amply shows that cynicism, for the sheer sake of same, is not really scientific nor realistic.

Steinberg does not list NICAP as "mistake-prone", but he has termed them as an "abysmal" failure (as well as other misleading phrases) which, essentially, amounts to the same thing. He fails to explain that he, himself, is guilty of "insufficient investigation" on just as many matters. That SAUCER NEWS, which he nevertheless is inclined to, has furthermore been guilty of "insufficient investigation". Hardly a researcher would not be guilty of this fact. It is not quite clear what Steinberg wants us to believe. Certainly not the fact that selfish statements (which certainly the haughty terminology he is using illustrates) are necessarily "accurate"!

I am well aware of Palmer's occult background. And I am aware of what he wishes to illustrate by his rather dramatic-mystical remarks. Steinberg's smug rebuff of me is without ground. Palmer parades as an "objective", realistic investigator as the rest of us, when in effect all the data he has gathered is weak, by the same standards we so willingly apply to NICAP and others. Those various "occultistic axioms" Palmer has stated toward Keyhoe and the others are far from being empirically "proven". Yet he uses them as "yardstick" by which to "clean" other people! Steinberg stentorianly stated to me at one time that Palmer's "Fact" did not pertain to anything "occultistic"; rather sheer speculation, when Palmer states in the very first issue of FORUM magazine (The Source Of Information) that his "Fact" most certainly is. A bit of inconsistency that our egotists are reluctant to admit, yet so willing to see in others.

Palmer's contention with NICAP was not due to Hall solely, as remarks of his lead to Keyhoe and other matters of UFO origin and investigation. When attacking NICAP, he constantly mentions Keyhoe and relates his occult viewpoint of the UFO.

Steinberg constantly creates "paper-tigers" with which to war. Whether Moseley's criticastings came after Palmer's or not is not what I spoke of. I spoke of the first dated...publicly seen remarks. Steinberg drags this topic in.

I notice Steinberg mentions that SAUCER NEWS was behind schedule, because of a Moseley visit to South America. Now, if one were to put Steinberg's cloak of cynicism on, we could really dramatize this statement as "SAUCER NEWS incompetence", which they so indifferently do to others. In fact, several large volumes could be written utilizing these same tactics towards them, as they do to others.

Steinberg says that he is at a loss to understand what is being said about Stanford. That is a shame. Perhaps this is the reason for so much conflict

among these people and NICAP and other established groups; they are unable to see the element of hypocrisy involved. Yes, I was aware that it was private correspondence and not public remarks (their private remarks on Stanford probably resulted in a near 'public bulletin' anyway). He misses the point anyway. The egotistical "logic" is still there, whether publicly printed or not. NICAP's unconscious use of a contactee voided several false notions. It demonstrated Palmer's inconsistent "occultistic axioms". It also didn't square with the egotist's psychological statements that "contactees don't touch" and/or "get touched" by NICAP.

The use of the word "rote" is very appropriate. "Rote" doesn't necessarily mean robots without minds which would fit Richard Shaver's definition; but was used to show the haughty "free indifference" of our critics, which utilize various gimmicks to get their resentments across. Steinberg mentions some "conscious contemplation" on the part of the critics, which "disregarded Stanford's connection with the contactee school of saucer research". This is interesting; Erdmann did not "disregard" it when he learned of it, and Erdmann IS a NICAP member.

Steinberg pretends to know the destiny and whys and wherefores of NICAP. This is questionable, since he hasn't even been in NICAP's office long enough to see how things work....rather, was thrown out because of his past motives.

Steinberg admits to being "egotistical". He says he has mentioned it before. Point of fact, this is the first time I've seen this. At any rate, egotistical does not mean "fun-loving" and "out-going", but in its proper definition means "selfish", and this, of course, I would agree with.

Erdmann will not go into depth into his Part II of Steinberg's NICAP "expose'", unless he wishes to continue this debate in print. However, let me point out that the gist of his article is resentment for Hall. That Hall has a perfectly good reason to suspect Steinberg isn't thought of, especially not by his friends. The article utilizes various "gimmicks". He calls himself "one lone, inconspicuous voice in the wilderness". Mr. Steinberg, the CIA will love you as a psychological warfare agent! At one point he has Hall "invariably gripping his pipe between his teeth, and staring at them with cold, impassive eyes." Col. Tacker's FLYING SAUCERS AND THE U.S. AIR FORCE couldn't have made better use of leaded phrases. Besides, Steinberg's own picture on the cover of the SIGHTER makes him look as if he were attempting to "look you down" into the ground. Now! I've stated something as matter-of-factly as he: does that make me right? He has Keyhoe "utterly helpless to accomplish anything towards rectifying this unfortunate situation". All of which are dramatic play with words, but weak opinion in reality, as Keyhoe himself would state if he were to answer his criticastings. Which all demonstrates the ability to cause reality around us with the power of the word and loquaciousness, yet to have no basis in "fact"!

If Steinberg prefers to continue this debate, and if he has not taken over various functions of this magazine as to make this an impossible task, I will be glad to. However, I think this is all getting too petty! The matter of destroying various other organizations and people for the sake of our own satisfaction is too questionable. Erdmann has already stated his reservations on Hall, and the fact that he is willing to admit error. But Erdmann has also stated we should use NICAP as an existing "tool" and preserve it with humanity. None of this adheres to Steinberg, for he wishes NICAP to suit his own ego or DIE! And this, in effect, is what the ending of his NICAP attack amounts to. That bit of egotism I will not uphold.

Editor's Note: The above article was submitted a considerable length of time ago and is only now being published.

U F O L O G Y : T H E G O O D O L D D A Y S # 1

This is not a terribly serious series of articles I begin here. It is rather an informal look at the "good old days" in UFOlogy; the period starting with the earliest days of the modern saucer era, and ending in 1966 with the coming of the Michigan wave.

I shouldn't be the one to write this series. I've been in the field quite a few years as UFOlogical careers go, but not nearly as long as the Jim Moseleys and Gray Barkers. Indeed, it was they who made whatever there was of good in the "good old days". The history of UFOlogy has been, for the most part, one of frustration and impotence. But there were bright spots. When I got into the field the best of the good old days were already passed. It was during the bleakest period in UFOlogy; that long, cold Winter that lasted from 1957 through 1963 and almost killed the field. Perhaps it is because I got in the field during that period (1960) that the "good old days" mean so much to me.

The Trivia: part one

To the few remaining who don't know what trivia is, briefly it is the asking of questions which (supposedly) don't have any real value. To begin the good old days story, here is a list of trivial questions that you can use to test yourself (and your friends) on just how much you know about the good old days.

- (1) Who edited the UFOloger? Answer: Jim Villard
- (2) What was "Chasing the Flying Saucers"? Answer: A column written by Gray Barker, published over a period of years in Ray Palmer's Flying Saucers Magazine.
- (3) While on that subject, what was Palmer's FS called before it converted to the saucer topic? Answer: Other Worlds, a science fiction magazine.
- (4) For years the identity of "Peter Kor" remained a secret of sorts. Who was he? Answer: This not very secret secret was unmasked by Tom Comella in Saucer News. It was - guess who - Tom Comella.
- (5) While we're on SN, what was the old name for this saucer magazine? Answer: Nexus. If you missed this one, turn in your SAUCERS card!

Got the idea now? O. K., here are some more.

- (6) Where was NICAP founded? Answer: I bet almost everybody missed this one. The way I heard it, NICAP was founded at the h.q. of the Little Listening Post in D. C.
- (7) What is Norb Gariety's real name? Answer: Norb Gariety, ha. ha.
- (8) Who sent Ken Arnold to investigate the Maury Island case? Ans. Ray Palmer.
- (9) What was the title of Leonard Stringfield's saucer book? Ans. Inside Saucer Post 3-0 Blue.
- (10) What happened to Karl Hunrath? Answer: Ask Judge Crater.
- (11) What were JSRO and TBFS? Ans. Two of the "teen UFO societies" that existed during the dark period between '57 and '63. They were respectively, the Junior Saucer Research Organization and the Teen Bureau of Flying Saucers.

NEXT: MORE TRIVIA

FOUNDATION FOR PHILOSOPHIC ADVANCEMENT (FPA)
MAILING ADDRESS: 2875 SEQUOYAH DRIVE, NW
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30327 USA

QUESTIONNAIRE ON PRETERNATURAL VARIABLES

Instructions to witness or investigator:

(1) This form may be used as an aid in investigation of unusual phenomena. (2) Please fill in with as much detail as possible. (3) If necessary, use an extra sheet of paper. (4) It is not necessary to fill in all spaces. (5) If you are unsure, but have a possible answer, please label answer unsure. (6) Unless notified otherwise, return form to address above.

(1) Name of witness _____ (2) Address _____
(3) City _____ (4) State _____ (5) Zip Code or zone _____
(6) Occupation _____ (7) Military Service _____ (8) Education _____
_____ (9) Special Training _____ (10) Age _____ (11) Sex _____
(12) Further personal information you consider relevant:

(13) Please describe unusual phenomenon; experience:

(14) If there were other witnesses, please list number _____ names and addresses: _____

(15) Have you ever had similar experiences before? _____ (If yes, please describe on separate sheet of paper.) (16) Have you ever had other experiences that you would describe as unusual? _____ (If yes, please describe on separate sheet of paper.) (17) Are you presently acquainted with any individual or individuals who have had unusual experiences? _____ (If so, please describe on separate sheet of paper.) (18) Have you ever been acquainted with an individual or individuals who have had unusual experiences? _____ (If so, please describe on separate sheet of paper.) (19) Do you consider yourself to be in any way "psychic"? _____ (20) Do you consider yourself knowledgeable in matters of psychic phenomena, parapsychology and/or unidentified flying objects? _____ (21) Have you read a great deal of literature on matters related to psychic phenomena, parapsychology and/or unidentified flying objects? _____.

(22) Prior to your experience, have you heard anything of other unusual incidents in your area recently? _____ (23) If "yes" to the last question, please describe: _____

(24) Have you noticed or heard of recent power failures in your area? _____ (25) Have you noticed or heard of recent disappearances of animals (pets, livestock, etc.) in your area? _____ (26) Have you noticed or heard of recent disappearances of individuals in your area? _____ (27) Have you noticed or heard of cases of unusual illness recently in your area? _____ (28) Have you noticed or heard of recent cases of strange or unusual radio interference in your area? _____ (29) Have you noticed or heard of cases of unusual telephone calls recently in your area? _____ (30) Have you noticed or heard of recent cases of telephone interference or failure in your area? _____ (31) Have you noticed or heard of any new persons moving into your neighborhood recently? _____ (32) Are there any outstanding parks or monuments in your area? _____ (33) Are there an unusually large number of high tension wires in your area? _____ (34) Do you live near a government installation of any sort? _____ (35) If you answered "yes" to any of the ten preceding questions, please describe, in the order of the question:

(36) What was the date of your experience? _____ (37) What was the time of day of your experience? _____ (38) Since your experience, have you received any unusual visitors? _____ (39) If "yes" to the previous question, please describe: _____
_____ (40) Shall we keep case confidential? _____